

## Connectivity

*Definition.* A graph  $G$  is **connected** if for every pair of vertices  $a$  and  $b$  in  $G$ , there is a **path from  $a$  to  $b$  in  $G$** .

## Connectivity

*Definition.* A graph  $G$  is **connected** if for every pair of vertices  $a$  and  $b$  in  $G$ , there is a **path from  $a$  to  $b$  in  $G$** .

That is, there exists a sequence of distinct vertices  $v_0, v_1, \dots, v_k$  such that  $v_0 = a$ ,  $v_k = b$ , and  $v_{i-1}v_i$  is an edge of  $G$  for all  $i$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq k$ .

## Connectivity

*Definition.* A graph  $G$  is **connected** if for every pair of vertices  $a$  and  $b$  in  $G$ , there is a **path from  $a$  to  $b$  in  $G$** .

That is, there exists a sequence of distinct vertices  $v_0, v_1, \dots, v_k$  such that  $v_0 = a$ ,  $v_k = b$ , and  $v_{i-1}v_i$  is an edge of  $G$  for all  $i$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq k$ .

*Lemma A.* IF there is a path from vertex  $a$  to vertex  $b$  in  $G$   
and a path from vertex  $b$  to vertex  $c$  in  $G$ ,  
THEN there is a path from vertex  $a$  to vertex  $c$  in  $G$ .

## Connectivity

*Definition.* A graph  $G$  is **connected** if for every pair of vertices  $a$  and  $b$  in  $G$ , there is a **path from  $a$  to  $b$  in  $G$** .

That is, there exists a sequence of distinct vertices  $v_0, v_1, \dots, v_k$  such that  $v_0 = a$ ,  $v_k = b$ , and  $v_{i-1}v_i$  is an edge of  $G$  for all  $i$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq k$ .

*Lemma A.* IF there is a path from vertex  $a$  to vertex  $b$  in  $G$   
and a path from vertex  $b$  to vertex  $c$  in  $G$ ,  
THEN there is a path from vertex  $a$  to vertex  $c$  in  $G$ .

*Proof.* By hypothesis,

- ▶ There exist paths  $P : av_1v_2 \cdots v_k b$  and  $Q : bw_1w_2 \cdots w_l c$  in  $G$ .
- ▶ If all the vertices are distinct,
- ▶ If not all vertices are distinct,

## Connectivity

*Definition.* A graph  $G$  is **connected** if for every pair of vertices  $a$  and  $b$  in  $G$ , there is a **path from  $a$  to  $b$  in  $G$** .

That is, there exists a sequence of distinct vertices  $v_0, v_1, \dots, v_k$  such that  $v_0 = a$ ,  $v_k = b$ , and  $v_{i-1}v_i$  is an edge of  $G$  for all  $i$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq k$ .

*Lemma A.* IF there is a path from vertex  $a$  to vertex  $b$  in  $G$   
and a path from vertex  $b$  to vertex  $c$  in  $G$ ,  
THEN there is a path from vertex  $a$  to vertex  $c$  in  $G$ .

*Proof.* By hypothesis,

- ▶ There exist paths  $P : av_1v_2 \cdots v_k b$  and  $Q : bw_1w_2 \cdots w_l c$  in  $G$ .
- ▶ If all the vertices are distinct, path  $R :$
- ▶ If not all vertices are distinct,

## Connectivity

*Definition.* A graph  $G$  is **connected** if for every pair of vertices  $a$  and  $b$  in  $G$ , there is a **path from  $a$  to  $b$  in  $G$** .

That is, there exists a sequence of distinct vertices  $v_0, v_1, \dots, v_k$  such that  $v_0 = a$ ,  $v_k = b$ , and  $v_{i-1}v_i$  is an edge of  $G$  for all  $i$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq k$ .

*Lemma A.* IF there is a path from vertex  $a$  to vertex  $b$  in  $G$   
and a path from vertex  $b$  to vertex  $c$  in  $G$ ,  
THEN there is a path from vertex  $a$  to vertex  $c$  in  $G$ .

*Proof.* By hypothesis,

- ▶ There exist paths  $P : av_1v_2 \cdots v_k b$  and  $Q : bw_1w_2 \cdots w_l c$  in  $G$ .
- ▶ If all the vertices are distinct, path  $R :$
- ▶ If not all vertices are distinct, then choose the *first* vertex  $v_p$  in  $P$  that is also a vertex  $w_q$  in  $Q$ .

## Lemmas A and B

*Lemma B.* Let  $G$  be a connected graph. Suppose that  $G$  contains a cycle  $C$  and  $e$  is an edge of  $C$ . The graph  $H = G \setminus e$  is connected.

## Lemmas A and B

*Lemma B.* Let  $G$  be a connected graph. Suppose that  $G$  contains a cycle  $C$  and  $e$  is an edge of  $C$ . The graph  $H = G \setminus e$  is connected.

*Proof.* Let  $v$  and  $w$  be two vertices of  $H$ .

We need to show that there is a path from  $v$  to  $w$  in  $H$ .

## Lemmas A and B

*Lemma B.* Let  $G$  be a connected graph. Suppose that  $G$  contains a cycle  $C$  and  $e$  is an edge of  $C$ . The graph  $H = G \setminus e$  is connected.

*Proof.* Let  $v$  and  $w$  be two vertices of  $H$ .

We need to show that there is a path from  $v$  to  $w$  in  $H$ .

Because  $G$  is connected, there exists a path  $P : v \rightarrow w$  in  $G$ .

If  $P$  **does not** pass through  $e$ , then \_\_\_\_\_.

## Lemmas A and B

*Lemma B.* Let  $G$  be a connected graph. Suppose that  $G$  contains a cycle  $C$  and  $e$  is an edge of  $C$ . The graph  $H = G \setminus e$  is connected.

*Proof.* Let  $v$  and  $w$  be two vertices of  $H$ .

We need to show that there is a path from  $v$  to  $w$  in  $H$ .

Because  $G$  is connected, there exists a path  $P : v \rightarrow w$  in  $G$ .

If  $P$  **does not** pass through  $e$ , then \_\_\_\_\_.

If  $P$  **does** pass through  $e = xy$ , break up  $P$ .

Define  $P_1 : v \rightarrow x$ ,  $P_2 : y \rightarrow w$ , both paths in  $H$ .

## Lemmas A and B

*Lemma B.* Let  $G$  be a connected graph. Suppose that  $G$  contains a cycle  $C$  and  $e$  is an edge of  $C$ . The graph  $H = G \setminus e$  is connected.

*Proof.* Let  $v$  and  $w$  be two vertices of  $H$ .

We need to show that there is a path from  $v$  to  $w$  in  $H$ .

Because  $G$  is connected, there exists a path  $P : v \rightarrow w$  in  $G$ .

If  $P$  does not pass through  $e$ , then \_\_\_\_\_.

If  $P$  does pass through  $e = xy$ , break up  $P$ .

Define  $P_1 : v \rightarrow x$ ,  $P_2 : y \rightarrow w$ , both paths in  $H$ .

We can write the cycle  $C$  as  $C = xz_1z_2 \cdots z_kyx$ .

Therefore, there is a path  $Q : x \rightarrow y = xz_1z_2 \cdots z_ky$  in  $H$ .

## Lemmas A and B

*Lemma B.* Let  $G$  be a connected graph. Suppose that  $G$  contains a cycle  $C$  and  $e$  is an edge of  $C$ . The graph  $H = G \setminus e$  is connected.

*Proof.* Let  $v$  and  $w$  be two vertices of  $H$ .

We need to show that there is a path from  $v$  to  $w$  in  $H$ .

Because  $G$  is connected, there exists a path  $P : v \rightarrow w$  in  $G$ .

If  $P$  does not pass through  $e$ , then \_\_\_\_\_.

If  $P$  does pass through  $e = xy$ , break up  $P$ .

Define  $P_1 : v \rightarrow x$ ,  $P_2 : y \rightarrow w$ , both paths in  $H$ .

We can write the cycle  $C$  as  $C = xz_1z_2 \cdots z_kyx$ .

Therefore, there is a path  $Q : x \rightarrow y = xz_1z_2 \cdots z_ky$  in  $H$ .

Apply Lemma A to show there is a path from  $v$  to  $w$  in  $H$ .

## Connectivity and edges

*Theorem 1.3.1.* If  $G$  is a connected graph with  $p$  vertices and  $q$  edges, then  $p \leq q + 1$ .

## Connectivity and edges

*Theorem 1.3.1.* If  $G$  is a connected graph with  $p$  vertices and  $q$  edges, then  $p \leq q + 1$ .

*Proof.* Induction on the number of edges of  $G$ .

▶ **Base Case.**

▶ **Inductive Step.**

## Connectivity and edges

*Theorem 1.3.1.* If  $G$  is a connected graph with  $p$  vertices and  $q$  edges, then  $p \leq q + 1$ .

*Proof.* Induction on the number of edges of  $G$ .

- ▶ **Base Case.** If  $G$  is connected and has fewer than three edges, then  $G$  equals either:
- ▶ **Inductive Step.**

## Connectivity and edges

*Theorem 1.3.1.* If  $G$  is a connected graph with  $p$  vertices and  $q$  edges, then  $p \leq q + 1$ .

*Proof.* Induction on the number of edges of  $G$ .

- ▶ **Base Case.** If  $G$  is connected and has fewer than three edges, then  $G$  equals either:
- ▶ **Inductive Step.**  
*Inductive hypothesis:*  
 $p \leq q + 1$  holds for all connected graphs with  $k \geq 3$  edges.

## Connectivity and edges

*Theorem 1.3.1.* If  $G$  is a connected graph with  $p$  vertices and  $q$  edges, then  $p \leq q + 1$ .

*Proof.* Induction on the number of edges of  $G$ .

▶ **Base Case.** If  $G$  is connected and has fewer than three edges, then  $G$  equals either:

▶ **Inductive Step.**

*Inductive hypothesis:*

$p \leq q + 1$  holds for all connected graphs with  $k \geq 3$  edges.

*We want to show:*

$p \leq q + 1$  holds for all connected graphs with

## Connectivity and edges

*Theorem 1.3.1.* If  $G$  is a connected graph with  $p$  vertices and  $q$  edges, then  $p \leq q + 1$ .

*Proof.* Induction on the number of edges of  $G$ .

▶ **Base Case.** If  $G$  is connected and has fewer than three edges, then  $G$  equals either:

▶ **Inductive Step.**

*Inductive hypothesis:*

$p \leq q + 1$  holds for all connected graphs with  $k \geq 3$  edges.

*We want to show:*

$p \leq q + 1$  holds for all connected graphs with  $k + 1$  edges.

## Connectivity and edges

*Theorem 1.3.1.* If  $G$  is a connected graph with  $p$  vertices and  $q$  edges, then  $p \leq q + 1$ .

*Proof.* Induction on the number of edges of  $G$ .

▶ **Base Case.** If  $G$  is connected and has fewer than three edges, then  $G$  equals either:

▶ **Inductive Step.**

*Inductive hypothesis:*

$p \leq q + 1$  holds for all connected graphs with  $k \geq 3$  edges.

*We want to show:*

$p \leq q + 1$  holds for all connected graphs with  $k + 1$  edges.

Break into cases, depending on whether  $G$  contains a cycle:

(next page)

## Connectivity and edges

- ▶ **Case 1.** There is a cycle  $C$  in  $G$ .
  
  
  
  
  
  
  
  
  
  
- ▶ **Case 2.** There is no cycle in  $G$ .

## Connectivity and edges

- ▶ **Case 1.** There is a cycle  $C$  in  $G$ .

Use Lemma B. After removing an edge from  $C$ , the resulting graph  $H$  is connected...

- ▶ **Case 2.** There is no cycle in  $G$ .

## Connectivity and edges

- ▶ **Case 1.** There is a cycle  $C$  in  $G$ .

Use Lemma B. After removing an edge from  $C$ , the resulting graph  $H$  is connected...

- ▶ **Case 2.** There is no cycle in  $G$ .

Find a path  $P$  in  $G$  that can not be extended.

*Claim:* The endpoints of  $P$ ,  $a$  and  $b$ , are leaves of  $G$ .

## Connectivity and edges

- ▶ **Case 1.** There is a cycle  $C$  in  $G$ .

Use Lemma B. After removing an edge from  $C$ , the resulting graph  $H$  is connected...

- ▶ **Case 2.** There is no cycle in  $G$ .

Find a path  $P$  in  $G$  that can not be extended.

*Claim:* The endpoints of  $P$ ,  $a$  and  $b$ , are leaves of  $G$ .

Remove  $a$  and its incident edge to form a new graph  $H$ .

Apply the inductive hypothesis to  $H$ ?

## Connectivity and edges

- ▶ **Case 1.** There is a cycle  $C$  in  $G$ .

Use Lemma B. After removing an edge from  $C$ , the resulting graph  $H$  is connected...

- ▶ **Case 2.** There is no cycle in  $G$ .

Find a path  $P$  in  $G$  that can not be extended.

*Claim:* The endpoints of  $P$ ,  $a$  and  $b$ , are leaves of  $G$ .

Remove  $a$  and its incident edge to form a new graph  $H$ .

Apply the inductive hypothesis to  $H$ ?



★ Important Induction Item: Always **remove** edges. ★

## Trees and forests

*Definition.* A **tree** is a connected graph that contains no cycles.

*Definition.* A **forest** is a graph that contains no cycle.

## Trees and forests

*Definition.* A **tree** is a connected graph that contains no cycles.

*Definition.* A **forest** is a graph that contains no cycle.

These definitions imply: (Fill in the blanks)

1. Every connected component of a forest \_\_\_\_\_.

## Trees and forests

*Definition.* A **tree** is a connected graph that contains no cycles.

*Definition.* A **forest** is a graph that contains no cycle.

These definitions imply: (Fill in the blanks)

1. Every connected component of a forest \_\_\_\_\_.
2. A connected forest \_\_\_\_\_.

## Trees and forests

*Definition.* A **tree** is a connected graph that contains no cycles.

*Definition.* A **forest** is a graph that contains no cycle.

These definitions imply: (Fill in the blanks)

1. Every connected component of a forest \_\_\_\_\_.
2. A connected forest \_\_\_\_\_.
3. A subgraph of a forest \_\_\_\_\_.

## Trees and forests

*Definition.* A **tree** is a connected graph that contains no cycles.

*Definition.* A **forest** is a graph that contains no cycle.

These definitions imply: (Fill in the blanks)

1. Every connected component of a forest \_\_\_\_\_.
2. A connected forest \_\_\_\_\_.
3. A subgraph of a forest \_\_\_\_\_.
4. A subgraph of a tree \_\_\_\_\_.

## Trees and forests

*Definition.* A **tree** is a connected graph that contains no cycles.

*Definition.* A **forest** is a graph that contains no cycle.

These definitions imply: (Fill in the blanks)

1. Every connected component of a forest \_\_\_\_\_.
2. A connected forest \_\_\_\_\_.
3. A subgraph of a forest \_\_\_\_\_.
4. A subgraph of a tree \_\_\_\_\_.
5. Every tree is a forest.

## Trees and forests

*Definition.* A **tree** is a connected graph that contains no cycles.

*Definition.* A **forest** is a graph that contains no cycle.

These definitions imply: (Fill in the blanks)

1. Every connected component of a forest \_\_\_\_\_.
2. A connected forest \_\_\_\_\_.
3. A subgraph of a forest \_\_\_\_\_.
4. A subgraph of a tree \_\_\_\_\_.
5. Every tree is a forest.

Trees are the smallest connected graphs; the following theorems show this and help classify graphs which are trees.

## Trees and forests

*Definition.* A **tree** is a connected graph that contains no cycles.

*Definition.* A **forest** is a graph that contains no cycle.

These definitions imply: (Fill in the blanks)

1. Every connected component of a forest \_\_\_\_\_.
2. A connected forest \_\_\_\_\_.
3. A subgraph of a forest \_\_\_\_\_.
4. A subgraph of a tree \_\_\_\_\_.
5. Every tree is a forest.

Trees are the smallest connected graphs; the following theorems show this and help classify graphs which are trees.

*Thm 1.3.2, 1.3.3:* Let  $G$  be a connected graph with  $p$  vertices and  $q$  edges. Then,  $G$  is a tree  $\iff p = q + 1$ .

*Thm 1.3.5.*  $G$  is a tree iff there exists exactly one path between each pair of vertices.

## Proof of Theorem 1.3.3

*Thm 1.3.2, 1.3.3:* Let  $G$  be a connected graph with  $p$  vertices and  $q$  edges. Then,  $G$  is a tree  $\iff p = q + 1$ .

## Proof of Theorem 1.3.3

*Thm 1.3.2, 1.3.3:* Let  $G$  be a connected graph with  $p$  vertices and  $q$  edges. Then,  $G$  is a tree  $\iff p = q + 1$ .

*Proof.* ( $\Rightarrow$ ) Use reasoning like Theorem 1.3.1.  
(Remove leaves one by one.)

## Proof of Theorem 1.3.3

*Thm 1.3.2, 1.3.3:* Let  $G$  be a connected graph with  $p$  vertices and  $q$  edges. Then,  $G$  is a tree  $\iff p = q + 1$ .

*Proof.* ( $\implies$ ) Use reasoning like Theorem 1.3.1.  
(Remove leaves one by one.)

( $\impliedby$ ) Proof by contradiction.

Suppose that  $G$  is connected and not a tree. Want to show:  $p \neq q + 1$ .

## Proof of Theorem 1.3.3

*Thm 1.3.2, 1.3.3:* Let  $G$  be a connected graph with  $p$  vertices and  $q$  edges. Then,  $G$  is a tree  $\iff p = q + 1$ .

*Proof.* ( $\implies$ ) Use reasoning like Theorem 1.3.1.  
(Remove leaves one by one.)

( $\impliedby$ ) Proof by contradiction.

Suppose that  $G$  is connected and not a tree. Want to show:  $p \neq q + 1$ .

A graph that is connected and is not a tree \_\_\_\_\_.

## Proof of Theorem 1.3.3

*Thm 1.3.2, 1.3.3:* Let  $G$  be a connected graph with  $p$  vertices and  $q$  edges. Then,  $G$  is a tree  $\iff p = q + 1$ .

*Proof.* ( $\Rightarrow$ ) Use reasoning like Theorem 1.3.1.  
(Remove leaves one by one.)

( $\Leftarrow$ ) Proof by contradiction.

Suppose that  $G$  is connected and not a tree. Want to show:  $p \neq q + 1$ .

A graph that is connected and is not a tree \_\_\_\_\_.

By Lemma B, remove an edge from this cycle to find a graph  $H$  with \_\_\_ vertices and \_\_\_ edges.

## Proof of Theorem 1.3.3

*Thm 1.3.2, 1.3.3:* Let  $G$  be a connected graph with  $p$  vertices and  $q$  edges. Then,  $G$  is a tree  $\iff p = q + 1$ .

*Proof.* ( $\Rightarrow$ ) Use reasoning like Theorem 1.3.1.  
(Remove leaves one by one.)

( $\Leftarrow$ ) Proof by contradiction.

Suppose that  $G$  is connected and not a tree. Want to show:  $p \neq q + 1$ .

A graph that is connected and is not a tree \_\_\_\_\_.

By Lemma B, remove an edge from this cycle to find a graph  $H$  with \_\_\_ vertices and \_\_\_ edges.

Theorem 1.3.1 applied to  $H$  implies that  $p \leq (q - 1) + 1$ , so  $p \leq q$ .

## Proof of Theorem 1.3.3

*Thm 1.3.2, 1.3.3:* Let  $G$  be a connected graph with  $p$  vertices and  $q$  edges. Then,  $G$  is a tree  $\iff p = q + 1$ .

*Proof.* ( $\Rightarrow$ ) Use reasoning like Theorem 1.3.1.  
(Remove leaves one by one.)

( $\Leftarrow$ ) Proof by contradiction.

Suppose that  $G$  is connected and not a tree. Want to show:  $p \neq q + 1$ .

A graph that is connected and is not a tree \_\_\_\_\_.

By Lemma B, remove an edge from this cycle to find a graph  $H$  with \_\_\_ vertices and \_\_\_ edges.

Theorem 1.3.1 applied to  $H$  implies that  $p \leq (q - 1) + 1$ , so  $p \leq q$ .

Therefore  $p \neq q + 1$ .

## Proof of Theorem 1.3.5

*Thm 1.3.5.*  $G$  is a tree iff there exists exactly one path between each pair of vertices.

( $\Rightarrow$ ) Suppose that  $G$  is a tree. Then  $G$  is connected, so for all  $v_1, v_2 \in V$ , there exists at least one path between  $v_1$  and  $v_2$ . Suppose that there are two paths,  $P_1 = v_1 u_1 u_2 \cdots u_n v_2$  and  $P_2 = v_1 w_1 w_2 \cdots w_m v_2$ .

## Proof of Theorem 1.3.5

*Thm 1.3.5.*  $G$  is a tree iff there exists exactly one path between each pair of vertices.

( $\Rightarrow$ ) Suppose that  $G$  is a tree. Then  $G$  is connected, so for all  $v_1, v_2 \in V$ , there exists at least one path between  $v_1$  and  $v_2$ . Suppose that there are two paths,  $P_1 = v_1 u_1 u_2 \cdots u_n v_2$  and  $P_2 = v_1 w_1 w_2 \cdots w_m v_2$ .

( $\Leftarrow$ ) Suppose  $G$  is not a tree.

Either (a)  $G$  is **not connected** or (b)  $G$  **contains a cycle**.

(a)

## Proof of Theorem 1.3.5

*Thm 1.3.5.*  $G$  is a tree iff there exists exactly one path between each pair of vertices.

( $\Rightarrow$ ) Suppose that  $G$  is a tree. Then  $G$  is connected, so for all  $v_1, v_2 \in V$ , there exists at least one path between  $v_1$  and  $v_2$ . Suppose that there are two paths,  $P_1 = v_1 u_1 u_2 \cdots u_n v_2$  and  $P_2 = v_1 w_1 w_2 \cdots w_m v_2$ .

( $\Leftarrow$ ) Suppose  $G$  is not a tree.

Either (a)  $G$  is **not connected** or (b)  $G$  **contains a cycle**.

(a) There exist two vertices  $v_1$  and  $v_2$  with no path between them.

(b)

## Proof of Theorem 1.3.5

*Thm 1.3.5.*  $G$  is a tree iff there exists exactly one path between each pair of vertices.

( $\Rightarrow$ ) Suppose that  $G$  is a tree. Then  $G$  is connected, so for all  $v_1, v_2 \in V$ , there exists at least one path between  $v_1$  and  $v_2$ . Suppose that there are two paths,  $P_1 = v_1 u_1 u_2 \cdots u_n v_2$  and  $P_2 = v_1 w_1 w_2 \cdots w_m v_2$ .

( $\Leftarrow$ ) Suppose  $G$  is not a tree.

Either (a)  $G$  is **not connected** or (b)  $G$  **contains a cycle**.

(a) There exist two vertices  $v_1$  and  $v_2$  with no path between them.

(b) For  $v_1, v_2$  in a cycle, there exist two paths between  $v_1$  and  $v_2$ .

## Proof of Theorem 1.3.5

*Thm 1.3.5.*  $G$  is a tree iff there exists exactly one path between each pair of vertices.

( $\Rightarrow$ ) Suppose that  $G$  is a tree. Then  $G$  is connected, so for all  $v_1, v_2 \in V$ , there exists at least one path between  $v_1$  and  $v_2$ . Suppose that there are two paths,  $P_1 = v_1 u_1 u_2 \cdots u_n v_2$  and  $P_2 = v_1 w_1 w_2 \cdots w_m v_2$ .

( $\Leftarrow$ ) Suppose  $G$  is not a tree.

Either (a)  $G$  is **not connected** or (b)  $G$  **contains a cycle**.

(a) There exist two vertices  $v_1$  and  $v_2$  with no path between them.

(b) For  $v_1, v_2$  in a cycle, there exist two paths between  $v_1$  and  $v_2$ .

In both cases, it is not the case that between each pair of vertices, there exists exactly one path.

## Related theorems

*Definition.* A **bridge** is an edge  $e$  such that its removal disconnects  $G$ .

*Theorem 2.4.1.* Suppose that  $G$  is a connected. Then  
 $G$  is a tree  $\iff$  Every edge of  $G$  is a bridge.

## Related theorems

*Definition.* A **bridge** is an edge  $e$  such that its removal disconnects  $G$ .

*Theorem 2.4.1.* Suppose that  $G$  is a connected. Then  
 $G$  is a tree  $\iff$  Every edge of  $G$  is a bridge.

*Proof.* ( $\Rightarrow$ ) Let  $e = vw$  be the edge of a tree  $G$ .  
The graph  $G \setminus e$  is no longer connected because

## Related theorems

*Definition.* A **bridge** is an edge  $e$  such that its removal disconnects  $G$ .

*Theorem 2.4.1.* Suppose that  $G$  is a connected. Then  
 $G$  is a tree  $\iff$  Every edge of  $G$  is a bridge.

*Proof.* ( $\Rightarrow$ ) Let  $e = vw$  be the edge of a tree  $G$ .  
The graph  $G \setminus e$  is no longer connected because  
we removed from  $G$  its one path between  $v$  and  $w$ .

## Related theorems

*Definition.* A **bridge** is an edge  $e$  such that its removal disconnects  $G$ .

*Theorem 2.4.1.* Suppose that  $G$  is a connected. Then  
 $G$  is a tree  $\iff$  Every edge of  $G$  is a bridge.

*Proof.* ( $\implies$ ) Let  $e = vw$  be the edge of a tree  $G$ .  
The graph  $G \setminus e$  is no longer connected because  
we removed from  $G$  its one path between  $v$  and  $w$ .  
( $\impliedby$ ) Let  $G$  be a connected graph with a cycle  $C$ .

## Related theorems

*Definition.* A **bridge** is an edge  $e$  such that its removal disconnects  $G$ .

*Theorem 2.4.1.* Suppose that  $G$  is a connected. Then  
 $G$  is a tree  $\iff$  Every edge of  $G$  is a bridge.

*Proof.* ( $\implies$ ) Let  $e = vw$  be the edge of a tree  $G$ .  
The graph  $G \setminus e$  is no longer connected because  
we removed from  $G$  its one path between  $v$  and  $w$ .

( $\impliedby$ ) Let  $G$  be a connected graph with a cycle  $C$ .  
The removal of any edge in  $C$  does not disconnect the graph.

## Related theorems

*Definition.* A **bridge** is an edge  $e$  such that its removal disconnects  $G$ .

*Theorem 2.4.1.* Suppose that  $G$  is a connected. Then  
 $G$  is a tree  $\iff$  Every edge of  $G$  is a bridge.

*Proof.* ( $\implies$ ) Let  $e = vw$  be the edge of a tree  $G$ .  
The graph  $G \setminus e$  is no longer connected because  
we removed from  $G$  its one path between  $v$  and  $w$ .

( $\impliedby$ ) Let  $G$  be a connected graph with a cycle  $C$ .  
The removal of any edge in  $C$  does not disconnect the graph.

*Theorem 3.2.1.* A regular graph of even degree has no bridge.

## Related theorems

*Definition.* A **bridge** is an edge  $e$  such that its removal disconnects  $G$ .

*Theorem 2.4.1.* Suppose that  $G$  is a connected. Then  
 $G$  is a tree  $\iff$  Every edge of  $G$  is a bridge.

*Proof.* ( $\implies$ ) Let  $e = vw$  be the edge of a tree  $G$ .  
The graph  $G \setminus e$  is no longer connected because  
we removed from  $G$  its one path between  $v$  and  $w$ .

( $\impliedby$ ) Let  $G$  be a connected graph with a cycle  $C$ .  
The removal of any edge in  $C$  does not disconnect the graph.

*Theorem 3.2.1.* A regular graph of even degree has no bridge.

*Proof.* Let  $G$  be a regular graph of even degree with a bridge  $e = vw$ .